

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/576,623	Applicant(s) SHIBATA, HIROYUKI	
	Examiner PAKEE FANG	Art Unit 2629	

All Participants:

(1) PAKEE FANG.

(2) Jeffrey J. Sopko.

Date of Interview: 05/13/2009

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The applicant's attorney authorized the examiner to do an examiner's amendment on the the specification on page 1 to add the continuing data.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/PAKEE FANG/
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)